

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,378	03/28/2001	Paul Richard	PC11809ARDT	5667
7590 07/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Richard R Michaud			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
The Michaud-Duffy Group LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
306 Industrial Park Road Suite 206 Middletown, CT 06457			AKTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\omega$			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/820,378	RICHARD, PAUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	lay 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, <u> </u>				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-12,20 and 24-34 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-12,20 and 24-34 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The finality of the action mailed on 09/09/04 was withdrawn and the amendment received on 11/09/04 was entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-8, 11-12, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, it is not clear what "pivotally coupled" encompasses. The pivot assembly only slides on the bearing (46 and 48) of the pivot frame. If "pivotally coupled" is considered to be a well known terminology for the above configuration, applicant needs to summit at least three prior art disclosing the same to overcome the rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claims 1, 5-8, 10-12, 20, 24-33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terry et al. (3935639) in view of Coffin (6442850).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Terry et al. discloses (Fig. 1-10) the invention substantially as claimed including a pivot frame 14, a pivot assembly 16, a system/virtual axis 26, a blade assembly 12, second biasing means/cantilever spring 62, said pivot assembly is pivotally movable through an angle of approximately ± 20° or 40° relative to said pivot frame, a guard bar 32 and a three blade (see col. 3, lines 30-34). Terry et al. does not show a blade assembly pivotally coupled to a pivot assembly. Coffin teaches the use of a blade assembly 1 pivotally coupled to a pivot assembly 14 and first biasing means 53 for the purpose of improving the safety of the razor during use. It

Art Unit: 3724

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Coffin's blade assembly by providing the blade assembly pivotally coupled to the pivot assembly and first biasing means as taught by Coffin in order to obtain a device that improves the safety of the razor during use.

Coffin's first biasing means is capable of presenting greater resistance depending on the contours of the surface being shaved. Also, the combination of Terry et al. and Coffin teaches the system axis coaxially aligned with one part of the blade assembly (see Fig. 2 of Terry et al.) and coaxially aligned with another part of the blade assembly (see Fig. 6 of Coffin).

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terry et al. (3935639) in view of Coffin (6442850) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Andrews (6,161,288).

The modified device of Terry et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for an angle of approximately 45°. However, Andrews teaches the use of a blade assembly pivotally movable through an angle of approximately 45° (see Fig. 56, 68 and 70) for the purpose of shaving highly curved surfaces. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified blade assembly of Terry et al. by providing the angle of approximately 45° as taught by Andrews in order to shave highly curved surfaces.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,378

Art Unit: 3724

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

7. Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs 7/25/05 Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700